UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,560	10/31/2003	Thomas Grafenauer	P27123	8411
	7590 01/27/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.0	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAND	CLARKE PLACE	-	FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,560	GRAFENAUER, THOMAS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LAWRENCE D. FERGUSON	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 C This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 16-21 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 16-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the land drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the land drawing(s) is objected to be land drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/697,560 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on October 14, 2008, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below. To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.1 11 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.1 13 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.
- 2. If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1k131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103(a)

4. Claims 1-3, 8-9 and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson (U.S. 6,449,918).

Nelson discloses a panel having a reinforcing substrate (support board) having a top wear surface and a bottom surface with a backing layer surface attached to the

substrate (column 11, lines 41-46 and column 15, line 63 through column 16, line 2) where the base member is made from wood based materials (column 16, lines 30-47) where it is expected for the woodbased particle materials to be glued or binded together. The top wear surface of the panels comprises decorative laminates prepared by heat and pressure consolidation, which have an embossed simulated wood grain finish (column 2, lines 3-15) where water is used to penetrate from the underside of the flooring panel (column 14, lines 16-20). Nelson further discloses the panels included recesses formed (column 20, lines 64-65).

Paragraphs 0015-0016 of the instant specification discloses the density of on the top side of a support board is lower than the density of the underside when the top surface is stamped and water is applied to the underside prior to the material being heated and compressed. Because Nelson discloses the top side is embossed (stamped) and the underside has water penetrated prior to the material being heated and compressed, it is expected for the top side to have a structured surface, where the density on the top side of the support board is lower than the density of the support board on the underside, with the density distribution through a thickness of the board is substantially parabolic in shape, as in claims 1, 8 and 16-21. In claim 19, the phrase, "comprises a structure composed of a stamping" introduces a process limitation to the product claim. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product

was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966. Further, process limitations are given no patentable weight in product claims.

Although Nelson does not teach the gluing factor amount or density of the layers as in claims 2-3 and 9, density and gluing factor are optimizable. In the absence of any evidence to the contrary, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the layers of the panel because discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. The density and gluing factor directly affects the durability of the panel. *In re Aller* 105 USPQ 233 and see *In re Boesch*, 617 USPQ 215. Additionally, there is also no clear teaching away from the density and gluing factor of the panel by Nelson, as the reference does not exclude any values for the density or gluing factor.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103(a)

5. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson (U.S. 6,449,918) in view of Clausi (U.S. 5,855,832).

Nelson is relied on for instant claim 1 as above. Nelson does not disclose the panel comprising UF and isocyanates, as in claims 4-7. Clausi teaches a compressed wood fiber material having a binding agent including urea formaldehyde (UF) and isocyanate (column 1, lines 12-20, column 13, lines 35-40 and column 14, lines 37-39). Moriau and Clausi are both related to compressed woodbased fiber material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for the adhesive material of Nelson

Art Unit: 1794

to comprise UF and isocyanate because Clausi teaches these materials are conventional binding (adhesive) materials. Although neither reference teaches the gluing factor for isocyanates, gluing factors are optimizable. In the absence of any evidence to the contrary, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the core (support board) of the panel because discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. The gluing factor directly affects the durability of the panel. *In re Aller* 105 USPQ 233 and see *In re Boesch*, 617 USPQ 215.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments regarding the rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriau et al. (U.S. 6,006,486) are moot based on grounds of new rejection.

Applicant's arguments regarding the rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriau et al. (U.S. 6,006,486) in view of Clausi (U.S. 5,855,832) are most based on grounds of new rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/697,560 Page 6

Art Unit: 1794

272-1522. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00 AM

- 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jennifer McNeil, can be reached on 571-272-1540. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Lawrence Ferguson/

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/Bruce H Hess/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794